

February 27, 2013 (#1278)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
LIVE ON RBN

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 27, 2013:

Legalists Redefining for Pocket-Lining:

**"This is the Age of Legal Malfunction,
Government/Corporate Theft Not Called Corruption,
For as in Past, King's Pronouncements Regal,
Now Made by Politicos to Make Illegal Legal,
But Only for Themselves and Their Masters,
Saving Crime Word for Low-Life Disasters,
U.S. Politicos' Grand Dreams were Fading,
So They Legalized for Themselves Insider Trading,
Lobbyists Greasing Palms will Be Next,
Agile Lawyers will Work Redefining Text,
The Old System's Gone, A Tragic Loss,
In this Feudal Age, You Know Who's the Boss"**

© Alan Watt Feb. 27, 2013

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 27, 2013 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on the 27th of February, 2013.

I always tell newcomers at the beginning of the broadcast, rather than pester you all the way through it, that you should make good use of the website **cuttingthroughthematrix.com**. Lots of audios for download for free and you can start to understand the system you were born into and how it's arranged. How it was arranged a long time ago in fact by very powerful people forming their foundations and their clubs with the intent of running the world properly that is, properly. That means efficiently. That means all of you, the way that you live and everything else. And they would also take over the resources, the natural resources of the world, everything that you need to live on. And it's happening as you live today. You see monopolies and monopolies over water, gas, energy, oil, all the rest of it, even food too. So that's the big, big agenda plus of course they've got academia onboard with them at the top. And academia is pushing for massive changes. This is the "***Century of Change***" they call it, the 21st century, that's what they call it in academia. That's when the whole agenda that's been on the go for well over a hundred years comes to be fulfilled in your lifetime. So we're going through the big changes.

Remember too you are the audience that bring me to you. I don't bring on advertisers as guests. I don't have shares in any products that are sold. All I have are the books and discs at **cuttingthroughthematrix.com** website to keep me ticking over or fluttering. Sometimes it's sinking as well. So it's up to you the listeners to keep me going by buying them and donating as well if possible. And from the U.S. to Canada remember you can still use personal checks and international postal money orders from the post office, you can send cash or use Paypal. Across the world, Western Union, Moneygram, and Paypal once again. And again straight donations are really seriously welcome as we go through inflation of course and devaluation of currencies across the planet.

And as I say if you really understand the system that you are in it's quite blatant really. It's in your face today. It's just that the media doesn't play it up that way. They play it down in fact or they misdirect you into some other thing that is happening. But this is the whole agenda. The world is being guided through all the crashes. And the austerity program has to be brought in somehow and that's why they brought the banks down at that time. The banks all colluded in this. They lost nothing. They gained a lot actually but they lost nothing. And the devaluation of currencies and of course you're being forced into austerity as the big boys who wanted to take over all the resources of the world said a long time ago, over a hundred years, eventually all of your spending money, your free spending money, would go to pay fees and licenses, and fuel, energy, and so on. All the vital things that you need, food and water, that kind of thing. And that's really what the agenda is supposed to be. They're fed up with you wasting all of their resources you see. Apparently they claimed it a long, long time ago. And that's what you're going through today.

And if you look at the United Nations and all of its multitudes of organizations working on every single facet of humanity and society it's staggering. You would need more than a hundred staff just working full time trying to keep up with what they churn out there. And another hundred just to check into the thousands of organizations that belong to them. Full time jobs. You can't do it all yourself or retain it in your memory in fact, there is just too many. And that's how they've done it all, by this massive parallel government that they have of non-governmental organizations that are paid constantly to lobby, big money to lobby governments. The governments are all picked again by the big foundations that fund the NGOs. So they're willing to listen to them and push through agendas on behalf of the public you see. And that's what the NGOs pretend to represent. You don't vote in the NGOs. You don't vote in their paymasters, the big privately owned foundations, unelected again. You don't vote in the United Nations. You're run privately and that's the big kicker to all of this. Folk haven't really woken up to this fact, that you're run by private organizations and you always have been.

Back with more after these messages.

{Break ♪}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about the big agenda, and it really is everything. And I've mentioned for many, many years that any system you could imagine, that you could start up to fight it or replace it with, generally is already in existence. It was started up by the opposition. They give you your oppositions to all the main things that are happening. They're already tailor-made for you to jump into. They give you heroes all through your life to follow and lead you up the garden path. They've always done this. Look at all the well meaning folk who were brainwashed to get into the greening movement and followed all the Greenpeace and all the rest of it, only to find out it's part of the big establishment that's going to force us all into austerity, vegetarianism down the road, and really we're just headed for extinction. And so they always give you your leaders. Be very, very careful.

Now in the 70's and the 80's, the 1980's, they had the corporate raiders. And the corporate raiders of course were private guys who noticed that some big corporations, which were generally family owned, old ones, the families didn't own the dominant shares and they ran the companies and the factories and so on and really not in the most profitable way because they kept older people on in the job and so on, didn't fire them, etcetera because they knew they had a responsibility. That was the old way of doing business. You had a responsibility to your employees. And the corporate raiders came in and bought out the dominant shares and then kicked out all the old guys. They sold off a lot of their stuff and then so-called streamlined it. It was the term that they used, streamlined the business and then they'd sell them off immediately. Most of them flopped because they sold off a lot of the essential things that they needed to run the business. It doesn't matter, this goes on and on and on. And understand, it's really weird, isn't it, we're all living under something called money that a few people control privately at the top. We don't elect them either. And often you don't even know who they are. And we think we live in a free society.

And these companies and corporations often, they get bailed out with your tax money when they make their private business mistakes. Or it's not mistakes actually; they're manufactured. They're "too big to fail" as they say. They can't

get prosecuted; the country would go down the tubes if you closed them down. That's what they claimed anyway. Personally I think we should have been like Iceland and let them all fold and then charge them all. Charge them all. But it doesn't happen that way because money rules the world and the guys who rule the money rule the world. And this article shows you that they're now moving in to raid retirement accounts.

And it says:

"The Feds Want Your Retirement Accounts"

"Quietly, behind the scenes, the groundwork is being laid for federal government confiscation of tax-deferred retirement accounts such as IRAs. Slowly, the cat is being let out of the bag."

*"Last January 18th, in a little noticed interview of Richard Cordray, acting head of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Bloomberg reported "the U.S. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) is weighing whether it should take on a role in **helping** Americans..."*

Alan: I hate that word. You always cringe when you hear they want to help you.

"...manage the \$19.4 trillion they have put into retirement savings, a move that would be the agency's first foray into consumer investments. That thought generates some skepticism..."

Alan: It says here. And it says...

"Days later On January 24th President Obama renominated Cordray as CFPB director even though his recess appointment was not due to expire until the end of 2013. One day later, in the first significant resistance to President Obama's concentration of presidential power, a three judge panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals in Washington DC unanimously said that Obama's Recess Appointments to the National Labor Relations Board are unconstitutional. Similar litigation testing the Cordray appointment to the CFPB is in the pipeline."

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) created by the 2,319 page Dodd-Frank legislation is a new and little known bureau with wide-ranging powers. Placed within the Federal Reserve, a corporation privately owned by member banks, the CFPB is insulated from oversight by either the President or Congress, its budget not subject to legislative control. It is not even clear that a new President can replace the CFPB director on taking office.

Unusual legal and political environments have a significant impact on the CFPB. With Cordray's recess appointment in doubt several questions remain unanswered.

- 1) *What will become of the CFPB when Cordray's appointment is found invalid? An indicator comes from the NRLB, which operated unconstitutionally for years without a quorum.*

In 2007 the Senate threatened no NLRB nominations reported out of

committee."

Alan: And it goes on and on, this article. I'll put the whole thing up tonight. But it is interesting because I mean in other words it's like the corporate raiders. They're eyeing their IRAs and they want to grab the money and start doing their own investments and of course taking the lion's share of all the interest as they lend out to other countries that are stagnating, at an exorbitant interest too. But of course the IRAs will stay the same; you won't get anything out of that. And if they fold of course your IRAs go with it. So everything is happening the way it's supposed to go because we're living in an incredible time of open, almost legal, you can call it legal corruption because the boys who own the banks gave you your legal system too and they're not going to prosecute themselves.

Another thing too is to do with Africa. Africa you know has had so much money pumped into it over centuries actually and, yeah, the big boys that came from other countries went in there, looted the gold and the silver, the uranium, everything they can get a hold of. They're still doing it of course and that's what Africom's going to be doing shortly. That's what they're really setting up big time for, as the U.S. army moves into it, across Africa. But the thing is too, Africa has always been run like some other countries. You got to understand that there is no such thing in the human gene as a thing called democracy. It's just someone's idea you understand. Sometimes it's a front for something else, most often it is actually. But it's not an innate thing in the human gene to have this thing called democracy, as you know it, that is. The closest you could get to democracy, natural democracy, is say American Indians or people who vanished in fact from the past, like old Scottish clans or something, where the people would get together and discuss everything that mattered to them before any decision was made. And everyone got to have their say. But that's long gone. The present-day democracy just demands that you vote someone in that's presented to you and then they do whatever they want because they're already selected before you even hear of them by the Council on Foreign Relations, their members, that run the world.

So Africa anyway definitely doesn't have the democracy gene. And they have traditionally always looked up to a really strong man, often a tyrant actually. And that's who they tend to respect. And if he's not a tyrant they'll overthrow him and some other guy will become the big heavy guy at the top. But they're very corrupt in their ways; they go a little bit overboard with it more so than the West. In the West they know how to do it better with quiet offshore investments and things like that. In Africa they tend to show off the cash and that leads to their downfall.

It says:

"Nigerian president "spent \$1million of aid money meant for poverty-stricken country on star-studded festival featuring Beyoncé and Jay-Z""

- *"The money was released for the ThisDay Music Festival in Lagos in 2006"*
- *"It was money from the Bayelsa State poverty alleviation fund in Nigeria"*
- *"Beyonce and Jay Z performed at the festival along with other American performers to "tell the world through music that Nigeria's time has come""*

Alan: {Laughs} So he spent a million dollars in aid money for his big, big to-do.

And it says:

"A letter stamped and signed by Bayelsa State officials in 2006 revealed that President Goodluck Jonathan, then the Governor of the state, released 150million Nigerian Nairas from the state's poverty alleviation fund for the inaugural ThisDay Music Festival in the city of Lagos in 2006. The document surfaced after reality TV star Kim Kardashian was reportedly paid \$500,000 for a fleeting appearance in Lagos last week, angering Nigerian commentators."

Alan: Well that's standard stuff you know. We often get conned into these charity things and all the rest of it because there is nothing more corrupt than charities, really nothing more corrupt. It's the easiest money there is. In fact the big foundations that run the world, like Rockefellers, Ford, Carnegie, and a whole bunch of other ones, they own trillions and trillions of dollars. And they can, as I say, pay off whole armies of NGOs or other kind of armies for that matter if they want. But they run the world and they put money forward too, to decide who becomes prime minister, president, and so on. They back them and their members are all members of the Council on Foreign Relations which of course the Rockefeller foundations really control for America on behalf of the British counterpart which is the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

So anyway, as I say, here's the guy gone too far. Nothing will happen because if he's onboard with the world agenda and the IMF and the World Bank, he'll get off with it, they won't bother. And that's how things really work; it's all as they say politics isn't it?

And also:

"Bono's ONE foundation..."

Alan: It's called ONE foundation for his charity drive. Who doesn't mind, I mean he's been on television many times. They work together with the big bankers you understand. And what they do is to ask governments to forgive third world countries' debts. And then of course the first world countries are asked to give them another loan which the first world country borrows from the World Bank and the IMF, through the IMF. And then you give them another loan. See, you understand, the ones who are loaning out the cash never lose. It's not written off and tossed to the wind. The countries back home are left with it; you are the guarantor for the debt. You have to pay it off. All the taxpayers pay it off. So the banks love this, this kind of "Oh please let the people off with it." And they say okay, your prime minister or president says okay we'll let them off with it, so they don't have to pay this again. But you're stuck with the debt, the taxpayer. And then your boss again will give them another loan, in this sort of merry-go-round, a beautiful merry-go-round between the World Bank, the IMF, and the heads of your countries that are put in place by other folk.

So anyway:

"Bono's ONE foundation under fire for giving little over 1% of [its] funds to charity"

"The anti-poverty foundation ONE is under pressure to explain its finances after it was revealed that only a small percentage of money it raises reaches the needy. The non-profit organization set up by the U2 frontman received almost £9.6million in donations in 2008 but handed out only £118,000 to good causes."

"The figures published by the New York Post also show that £5.1million went towards paying salaries."

Alan: When you start up a charity you and your board members can draw as many millions as you want for your paychecks. There is no law against it. Back with more after this.

{Break ♪}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix. Most folk don't know but when any big business or say factory type work is considered to go into an area, any area, they do their various engineering surveys and health surveys and so on. And they actually work out the acceptable death rates from the fallout of these plants that they put up. I knew an engineer, a top engineer, at one of the big nuclear plants, in Pickering, and he said to me that they did that, the whole survey for that area. And they knew that there would be lots of leukemia and cancers of all kinds that would hit youngsters too, brain cancers and so on. But they actually worked out what was the acceptable death rate from that as opposed to the economic benefit from having the plants there for electricity. That's how they do it for everything. But China is just finding this out of course.

And it says:

"Decades of reckless pollution have spawned a string of toxic 'cancer villages', the Chinese government admitted for the first time yesterday. In a rare admission, the Environment Ministry accepted the country's 30-year breakneck industrial boom and lax laws had caused 'many' environmental disasters and led to a spike in cancer-related deaths."

"In recent years, toxic and hazardous chemical pollution has caused many environmental disasters, cutting off drinking water supplies, and even leading to severe health and social problems such as..."

Alan: What they call...

"...“cancer villages”,

Alan: Like I said before you'll find around nuclear plants too in every country.

"...the ministry said in its damning report."

"Factories in rural communities spout a deadly toxic cocktail and poison the soil, ground water and air for hundreds of villages and millions of people."

"Major cities - including the capital Beijing - are also badly affected by smog and forced to issue regular health warnings for residents to stay indoors to avoid 'hazardous' air quality."

Alan: Well it was again a fast boom for China. They were built up by the West and given all the factories through the GATT treaty, that we didn't vote for of course. All your factories got up and left you and they just put them over there and just didn't bother putting any filters on the stacks or anything else and now you end up with that. Plus they were dumping polluted water from the factories straight into rivers. Some of them ended up just like sludge, total sludge. Nothing lived in them. And they've had various documentaries out over the years on that. But that's the way it goes.

So now they've got their cancers going through the roof there. And that article I read yesterday, now the poor are selling their organs. And most folk in China are still poor believe it or not because it's a top-down heavy-controlled system. And which is again the model for the United Nations. They said that was the model for the world to copy, was China, where they have all these millions of peasants at the bottom and this hierarchy of, hereditary basically, hereditary type commissars running it under a communist flag. So I guess as I say they're selling their kidneys off, the poor folk now. I guess that they will drop in price now that they're all prone to cancer. They'll be second-class kidneys.

This article too is interesting because there is nothing that appears in the media, especially when it's something that's been out before under different guises - when it comes out again something is being pushed, an idea is being pushed. And the idea is eugenics of course. Eugenics has always been into what makes a person tick, what makes a person disobey authority, stuff like that. At one time they would measure your skull, and they'd measure the space between your eyes, your nose and all this kind of stuff. And they even had different kinds of ears. If you had a little kink in an ear, back in the early 1920's, you were liable to be a prisoner they claimed. And then they changed that to an elongated ear, then a short one. And they keep changing everything of course. But now once you bring science into it, you understand, once you bring science in it's harder to argue you see. And that's why they use all this nonsense. Eugenics is always eugenics.

But it mentions that:

"PRISONERS in Scotland's jails should undergo tests for signs of brain injury..."

Alan: I've mentioned this before.

"...and be prescribed mood-altering drugs to help bring down re-offending rates..."

Alan: Right, so it's down to brain injury again. We're back to something's wrong with the brain because all the folk are supposed to be happy obedient slaves, obedient to authority, and never object and complain to anything. If you do and you break tradition there is something... you obviously have a brain injury. This is how they think. It's been here for about a hundred years, this theory.

And neuroscientists are in on the game of course and they're trying to say "oh look at that little dark patch in the front there; psychopaths have that too apparently." But not all psychopaths break the law you see. You forget that as well. In fact some of the articles I've put up in the past, and documentaries that were done by top leading psychologists, they tested themselves and found out that they were

psychopaths. {Laughs} But they hadn't broken all the laws you see. So it's all bunkum but again they always clutch at anything that's found out to be new, or some technology comes along that lets them see something new and the media have their conclusions already.

"Studies have shown that up to half of the prison population have suffered brain trauma caused by accidents and assaults that could be a factor in persistent offending."

Alan: Well I mean if you tested every boxer what would you find there for brain trauma? But do they go out and cause all the crime? No. But you understand neuroscience wants to get really big into the act of the legal system and the correctional system. You understand they're trying to make themselves into a science. This is not just to end in prisons; it's to end up controlling all of us. Because they want you all eventually to be scanned with these probabilities or possibility factors to see if they can categorize you as they follow you down through life you understand. It's always been that way.

So I'll put this link up tonight too. It's a PR thing obviously but they also want to use mood-altering drugs to help bring down re-offending. So re-offending can be brought down by putting them on drugs. Have you seen the crime rates in countries like the States? How many people are killed and knifed and all the rest of it and clubbed to death for a few bucks to buy drugs? Huh? Have you seen someone who will do anything when they go through withdrawal to get the money for the drugs? Hmm?

Back with more after this break.

{Break ♪ - *You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth* - ♪}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the big, big system. Every facet of your life is controlled. Everything that you'll even like and watch is controlled. And they have psychologists working in lots of the programs and movies (and psychiatrists too) that you watch. And I remember reading the article from the ***Coronation Street***, the new Coronation Street that was out a few years ago. And you saw this list of psychiatrists and psychologists that work with them all the time and sociologists and healthcare workers and on it went because you see you're being programmed by everything that you watch. And that's the function of it, you see it's weaponized. And of course the storyline generally is to bring in things that you don't like at all or you have particular opinions about and you don't like. So they try to bring you in, suck you into the stories and get you watching it with a little bit of a mystery involved of course, hoping you'll keep watching it. Then they introduce the main star as something or other that you formerly would have objected to and that's how they get you sucked in. But of course the sex and violence is nothing new in it, only it's been stepped up and stepped up as we become really so jaded with it that nothing bothers us anymore. Because you see eventually you'll be getting dragged off the streets yourself too for looking at the camera the wrong way that's watching you, you see. And so we're all being trained. And after all the scandals at the BBC which they really, really covered over, it's on the go. It's still the same things on the go, the same

people working there yet, the same agenda. Remember it works for the government. The government always uses the BBC for its propaganda outlet. And propaganda is also indoctrination.

But it says:

"The BBC Trust ruled that the episode,"

Alan: This television episode of a long-standing drama.

"...which included scenes of a prison officer assaulting a victim in a toilet cubicle with a baton,"

Alan: With a baton. You can imagine what that's for. Maybe there are a lot of flies there or something.

"...was "too explicit for the series". The broadcaster received 632 complaints about the episode which one complainant said he had found "extremely upsetting" and "thoroughly nasty"."

Alan: And they're at it right away after as I say the scandal things. This is the trash that they put out there.

"Silent Witness, which stars Emilia Fox, is now in its 15th series. The offending episode was broadcast on 22 April 2012 between 9pm and 10pm. 26 of the complaints were made because scheduling had overrun meaning that viewers tuning into BBC1 at 10pm would have seen the programme's conclusion."

Alan: And that's how they'll do it. You see they'll wait to the end and then they get that. So they got sex, which is meant to turn folk on, by the way, coupled with violence, sadomasochism, and this is projected into the population. It's intentional. So there you are, they put it in at the conclusion.

"One man said he had turned on his television to watch the BBC News at Ten and had been confronted by "seriously disturbing scenes of utter degradation, extreme violence with sexual overtones"."

Then:

"Responding to the complaint the programme's..."

Alan: PR

"...producer said "it was not an attempt to gratuitously shock the audience" but was part of a storyline establishing a policewoman's complicity in the brutality of a male prison officer. She argued it had been scheduled after the watershed and that after 15 series' audiences would be familiar with the nature of content included in Silent Witness."

Alan: So they must be building you up to this, one scene after another, it gets more and more graphic. This is how they do things you understand. And she pretty well admits it there. In other words if you were watching up to the 15th series the audience would be familiar with the nature of content included in

"Silent Witness". You've been degraded all along, bit by bit.

"In its ruling the BBC Trust said that "viewers were left in no doubt that an act of sexual violence was being carried out" even though the sexual attack was not shown. The complaints director said he felt "the programme-makers may have misjudged the feelings of the audience about this sort of material, and the leeway that the audience would permit them based on previous episodes"."

Alan: So it will continue of course. And they also have the ones who will be into this stuff now that want them to come back anyway; don't put them off the air, keep them going because we like this kind of, you know, trash. But that's what you get in this day and age, isn't it? That's how everyone walks around cursing and swearing now, that's what they hear all from the TV and the movies. Children do it too because that's where they get it as well. It completely alters culture. That's what it was for. That's the purpose of it.

And also too in Britain they're cutting back benefits, you know tax benefits from councils and so on, *"at the expense of getting people with no money to pay up"*. Now it's affecting a lot of the disabled folk.

And it says:

"Unlike the "bedroom tax",

Alan: Now if you got an extra room you get taxed on that now too. This is getting crazy but you know there is no end to this as we get plundered. That's our only purpose, is to be plundered at the bottom.

"...which only affects tenants of councils and housing associations, changes to council tax benefits from April will also affect owner-occupiers and private tenants. It is currently claimed by 5.9 million recipients and is the most widely claimed means-tested benefit. Local authorities have been asked by the government to replace council tax benefit with new local schemes that reduce the amount of council tax relief councils can pay out."

Alan: So as I say the main thing is and they've already had some people in Britain who are disabled who get this relief really worried that they'll be on the streets shortly. But that's the agenda now. Actually this next article will tie in with it.

"“Disabled children cost too much and should be put down”:"

Alan: Meaning, like an animal at the vet you know. Who says this? A councillor, one of these councilors of course that's probably all for this tax [benefit] cut on the people who are disabled.

"...Calls for councillor to stand down after this outrageous rant."

- *"Cllr Collin Brewer refuses to quit and has apologized to Disability Cornwall"*
- *"Told BBC radio that the timing wasn't good because of upcoming elections"*

Alan: It's all to do with timing, right?

And it says here:

"A councillor told a charity worker who helps youngsters with special needs that 'disabled children cost the council too much money and should be put down'. Collin Brewer, 68, an independent councillor at Cornwall Council, has refused to stand down after making the 'depraved' remark to the member of a charity during an information event. What he said has received wide-spread condemnation including that of Katie Price whose son, Harvey, is severely disabled. Mr Brewer admitted that he made the comment to "provoke a reaction"...."

Alan: He is telling the truth in that little part, **"provoke a reaction"**. Because you understand they have shock jocks out there and they tend to get a following you know. And the more foul often they can be, the more following they get because most of society is totally degraded now you see. And it also gets the stimulation of talk, pro and con, "should he have said that", "should he have not", etcetera. Because remember I read last year from a panel of experts, bioethicists, talking about the same thing at the BBC, trying to get folk to say yeah, let's look at this whole thing differently, *"Is it better to euthanize them at birth?"*. You see. So he was trying to provoke a reaction. So he's in with some group or other.

"...and has now been forced to apologize in writing for the offensive remark. However, he remains defiant and refuses to stand down saying: "It is not something I would consider resigning over"."

Alan: I bet he wouldn't.

He says:

"I believe I am doing a good job."

Alan: So that's what you've got in the world today and as I say everything has got a meaning behind it. There is a purpose behind it to get you all talking. You see when you're familiarized with a topic that you didn't used to be, you'd have a closed mind on something, which is good. Society used to exist pretty well on closed minds to every thing that's weird and detrimental to them. Once they get you to open up, "well, see this in a different way, a different point of view," then you're done for. Someone's got a big plan for you.

And from Australia it says:

"It's official."

Alan: And this is, I think it's Lord Monckton.

He says:

"What I was howled down and banned for telling the recent U.N. climate conference in Doha is true. There has been no global warming for 17 years. Rajendra Pachauri, the railroad engineer who heads the U.N.'s accident-prone climate panel, the IPCC, recently admitted this fact here in Australia. The Hadley/CRU temperature record shows no warming for 18 or 19 years."

RSS satellites show none for 23 years. Not one computer model predicted that."

"Pachauri said the zero trend would have to persist for 30-40 years before it mattered."

Alan: In other words before they could say that something was wrong.

"Scientists disagree."

Alan: Naturally because they're all getting paid money for it.

"In 2008 the modelers wrote that more than 14 years without global warming would indicate a "discrepancy" between their predictions and reality. By their own criterion, they have grossly, persistently, profitably..."

Alan: Very profitably.

"...exaggerated manmade global warming. The 17-year flatline gives Australia's \$180,000-a-year, part-time climate kommissar, Tim Flannery,"

Alan: Not bad, eh?

"...a problem."

It says:

"In January he crowed that extreme weather like Sydney's recent heatwave had been predicted for decades. Skeptics, he wailed, continued to ignore the thousands of hot-weather records tumbling worldwide. Yet without statistically significant warming for nigh on two decades, recent extreme weather cannot be blamed on global warming."

"Warming that was predicted yesterday but has not happened for up to 23 years until today cannot have caused yesterday's "droughts and flooding rains," now, can it?"

Alan: But anyway...

"Flannery relentlessly gives only one side of the story when it is his duty to give both. He is carefully silent about the thousands of cold-weather records that have also tumbled in recent years - more than 650 this week in the U.S. alone."

Alan: For cold weather.

And:

"The Northern Hemisphere is enduring one of its coldest winters in 100 years. Before the usual suspects try to blame that too on global warming, the IPCC says - unsurprisingly - that warmer weather means less snow."

Alan: {Laughs}

"Sea-ice extent in the Arctic has reached a record high for this time of year, despite a record low last summer."

Alan: It always thaws in the summer and packs on the ice in the winter you understand.

And it says:

"In the Antarctic, sea ice has been increasing for the last 33 years. There will be further extreme weather in the coming decades. It will not matter whether the world warms or cools. Extreme weather is not the new normal. It is the old normal – but the new slogan. The best-kept secret in climate science is that extreme weather, or “tipping points,” will be no likelier if the planet warms than if it cools. For the climate behaves as a chaotic object. What mathematicians call “bifurcations” can occur at any time."

Alan: So I'll put this up tonight as well for those who still are following that part. But it's crazy, isn't it too, as we get sprayed like bugs from all the aerial spraying and stuff and there's not a peep on that. No one will talk on it at the top.

Also it also takes about two years or more for anything that's been said on shows like this one to get into the mainstream. And eventually they catch on, probably because they've got not much news out there. I mean even all their sex stories are jaded. They've put so much out over the years that folk don't bother reading them anymore. They get jaded.

But the Daily Mail says:

"Swine flu jab linked to sleep disorder: Fears one million children received jab that can increase risk of narcolepsy"

Alan: And that was the...

"Pandemrix..."

Alan: ...of course. That was...

"...the main vaccine used in the UK during the Swine Flu pandemic three years ago."

It says:

"Almost a million children were given a swine flu jab which put them at increased risk of the sleep disorder narcolepsy. New research shows the Pandemrix vaccine carries a 14-fold extra risk of triggering narcolepsy, in which sufferers can fall asleep suddenly and unexpectedly."

Alan: Well it happened in Finland, lots of them happened there and I think there was some in Sweden too. And I've got the articles here that I've read over the years.

It says:

"For every 55,000 doses delivered, it is estimated around one child

developed the condition."

"It was given to six million people in high-risk groups, including children and those with conditions such as diabetes, heart disease and asthma. At the height of the pandemic, between October 2009 and March 2010, more than 850,000 English children aged six months to 16 years were given the vaccine. The jab was also given to 170,000 people, including children, when supplies of seasonal flu vaccine were in short supply between October 2010 and February 2011."

"It shows that children vaccinated here were also more likely to develop the disorder, although experts..."

Alan: The experts that said it was okay you know.

"...stressed Pandemrix has not been used for nearly two years and the period of highest risk was within a few months of getting a jab."

Alan: So folks still line up to get the jabs, all stuff to get squirted into their bodies, and well you can't really help them, can you, because the brainwashing is superb, isn't it? It's massive propaganda campaigns. Massive, isn't it?

Also it says:

"Whistleblower Mark Klein provided this now-6-year-old photo of a secret room in a San Francisco AT&T switching center, which he claimed housed data-mining equipment that enables the government to spy on electronic communications."

"A divided Supreme Court halted a legal challenge Tuesday to a once-secret warrantless surveillance project that gobbles up Americans' electronic communications, a program that Congress eventually legalized in 2008 and again in 2012. The 5-4 decision (.pdf)..."

Alan: And the .pdf link I'll put up tonight too on that.

"...by Justice Samuel Alito was a clear victory for the President Barack Obama administration, which like its predecessor, argued that government wiretapping laws cannot be challenged in court. What's more, the outcome marks the first time the Supreme Court decided any case touching on the eavesdropping program that was secretly employed in the wake of 9/11 by the President George W. Bush administration, and eventually codified into law twice by Congress."

A high court majority concluded that, because the eavesdropping is done secretly, the American Civil Liberties Union, journalists and human-rights groups that sued to nullify the law have no legal standing to sue..."

Alan: Because it's done secretly, so you can't sue them.

It says:

"... — because they have no evidence they are being targeted."

Alan: {Laughs} Because it's done in secret, right.

"Some of the plaintiffs, which the court labeled "respondents," are also journalists and among other things claimed the 2008 legislation has chilled their speech and violated their Fourth Amendment privacy rights."

Alan: Well it's true, they're training you if you're a journalist not to say certain things and just talk about the usual rubbish, you know. That's true enough.

Now:

"A controversial strategy to combat Internet piracy took effect Monday,"

Alan: This is interesting.

"...meaning subscribers who illegally share movies or songs could be punished by losing Web access or having their broadband speeds slowed to a crawl. The new "Copyright Alert System," or "six strikes" system, is the result of a partnership between major Internet service providers and the entertainment industry to deter theft of copyrighted material online. The film and recording industries say online piracy costs them billions of dollars in lost revenue each year. A trade group representing the entertainment industry and Internet providers announced the move in a blog post on Monday."

Alan: I'll put all these links up tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com.

"Under the new system, Internet subscribers accused of online piracy will receive a series of alerts. Critics have called the system "six strikes" because the sixth copyright violation is expected to lead to punishment from the Internet providers. The details of each Internet provider's alert system are still unknown,"

Alan: I guess it's top secret, eh?

"...but each one is expected to be slightly different. Under Verizon's proposed plan, which leaked online last month, alleged copyright violators could have their Internet speeds slowed to dial-up speeds for two to three days. "

Alan: I would never know because they give me that anyway on satellite.

"Under Time Warner Cable's plan, the company will temporarily suspend Internet service to alleged copyright violators until they call a customer service representative and agree to stop pirating copyrighted material..."

And it says:

*"In a blog post Monday, Jill Lesser, executive director of the Center for Copyright Information, wrote that the alerts **"are meant to educate rather than punish"** and will direct them to legal alternatives and allow them to seek an independent review if they believe they are innocent. Under Verizon's proposed plan, alleged copyright violators must pay \$35 to have an arbitrator review whether they are guilty of Internet piracy. If the arbitrator*

rules in their favor, their money is refunded and their Internet speeds go untouched. Some industry observers have questioned whether the alert system will be effective. Some note that Internet users who frequently engage in illegal file-sharing often use private networks or proxy services to disguise the location of their computers. Others worry that small businesses that provide Wi-Fi access could be accused of copyright violations if their customers engaged in illegal file-sharing on their networks."

Alan: So everything is getting controlled and policed and everything else like it was meant to happen when they first gave you the internet. First they get you hooked, then they police it, then they rule you and your thoughts.

Back with more after this.

{Break ♪}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and we'll go to... There's a caller hanging on there, John from New York, if he's still there. Are you still there John?

John: Yes, thank you Alan. I was just thinking about this propaganda matrix we're living in. I was looking at when I was a kid actually growing up in New York City watching even Sesame Street. Even living in New York City, living in an all-white neighborhood, seems multicultural. It was a little strange from growing up looking at it later you can look at the founders of Sesame Street; one of them is Lord Morrisett, from the CFR, the vice president of the Merkle Group. He actually lives down in my neighborhood. I'm looking at the tax records where they show all the donations, or political donations. I'm looking at this fellow and he don't even donate to the republicans or the democrats. He only makes one donation for the last 10 years and that was to a group called Emily's list which is really an abortion rights organization. So this so-called lover of children bringing Sesame Street, teaching kids to read and learn, and actually wants to kill them all. So it's no surprise to you but it's just interesting I guess for the soccer moms out there.

Alan: That's right and it works every time. Because again everyone is pretty decent and they like charities. It sounds good, charity, it sounds up there in the sky somewhere and you have no idea what they're really up to or where the money is going. And folk would be shocked, absolutely shocked to find out exactly where that money is going. I mean disastrously so because these big charities, there's no trick dirty enough. They use the top, they've got so much money too that they use the top marketers to dream up new ways of, terminology basically, to sell the idea to the people who give generously. And they have no idea that these people literally are the biggest sharks in the world. And they do have a negative agenda on the general population. They want to bring down populations and so on. And it's like family planning, you don't call it the abortion industry, you call it family planning. And of course Margaret Sanger said that, children are weeds. She wanted to destroy different races all together. And she's still lauded by the top eugenicists today for her acts. So people had no idea as they were giving money to these things what it was really, really for. People are still giving money to these organizations as they go aborting children across the world because they go under women's health issues. It sounds like they're going to give good healthcare to women. It's for abortion across the third world. But they're all into this stuff. And you just can't really help people who get sucked in so easily. If they get

sucked in on that and they believe things at face value, they'll get sucked into everything that's out there. And I've always said we're given our leaders and people get sucked into them. They really are given their leaders. Every facet of it, they're given their leaders. But thanks for calling.

And also I mean just as an example, John Pilger, who's done a lot of good stuff out there on what's happening with big corporations and so on, and he's up in this article here on the Marxist Blog, he's going to speak at one of the top world Marxist meetings, 2013 conference.

It says:

"John Pilger is a world-renowned journalist, author and documentary filmmaker, who began his career in 1958 in his homeland, Australia. He regards eye-witness as the essence of good journalism."

Alan: And so on. And he goes on about the corporations etcetera, etcetera. But here he is as a speaker at the top Marxist conference for world Marxism. And Noam Chomsky, I don't know if he's going there too, but he also gives him praise in this article at the Marxist site. And other ones too are giving him praise for what he's done. He's done a lot of good stuff but they want to bring in the other side of the same coin. See, both of the sides meet on the same road, down the road, the controlled society, expertly run. Same thing.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Feds Want Your Retirement Accounts](#)

[Nigerian President Spends \\$1 Million of Aid Money on Party](#)

[Bono's ONE foundation under fire for giving little over 1% of funds to charity](#)

[Industrial Cancer Epidemic in China](#)

[Scotland---Prisoners to be Put on Mood Altering Drugs](#)

[For the Culture Destroyers at Perv. BBC, it's Business as Usual](#)

[UK---Council Tax Benefits Cuts](#)

['Disabled children cost too much and should be put down'](#)

[Prosecute the Climate Scientists](#)

[More on Swine Flu Jab and Narcolepsy](#)

[Supreme Court and Warrantless Surveillance](#)

[& PDF on Above](#)

Six Strikes and Out for Illegal Downloads

ISPs Now Monitoring for Copyright Infringement

John Pilger to Speak at Marxism 2013, March 29th.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"